

Thermoeconomic Analysis of Multi-Stage Thermoelectric Cooler

Sudhanshu Sharma^{a,*}, V. K. Dwivedi^b, S. N. Pandit^c

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Mewar University, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India, 312901

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Galgotias College of Engineering & Technology, Uttarpradesh, India, 201306

^c Department of Mechanical Engineering, Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology, Uttarpradesh, India, 201310

Abstract

In this paper a two stage thermoelectric cooler which has total 50 elements out of which 30 elements are on hotter side and 20 elements are on colder side, analyzed on the basis of the principles of thermoeconomics. Exergy destruction has been used to calculate the second law efficiency. Then the exergy has been coupled with unit cost of exergy to calculate the cost of refrigeration produced. The model has been analyzed for a particular life cycle of thermoelectric cooler (TEC) where the operating conditions change with the variation in cold side and hot side temperatures. Two cases have been considered. In first case the cold side temperature is varied with hot side temperature maintained as constant. In second case hot side temperature is varied with cold side temperature maintained as constant. The analysis shows that on the basis of thermoeconomics the first case is favorable but the comparison on the basis of coefficient of performance, rate of refrigeration and second law efficiency show that the second case is favorable.

Keywords: Thermoelectric cooler; Thermoeconomic; Exergy; COP; Rate of refrigeration; Second law efficiency

1. Introduction

Thermoelectric devices are solid state devices. Semiconductor thermoelectric power generation based on the Seebeck effect and semiconductor thermoelectric cooling, based on the Peltier effect, have interesting capabilities compared to conventional power generation and cooling systems. The absence of moving components results in increase in reliability, reduction in maintenance, and increase of system life; the modularity allows for application in wide range without significant losses in performance; the absence of working fluid avoids environmental dangerous leakages; and the noise reduction appears also to be an important feature. With the rapid development of techniques to make excellent semiconductor materials the practical applications and theoretical investigations of the thermoelectric devices have come in to focus. Thermoelectric coolers have found applications in areas such as microelectronic system, laser diodes, telecommunication, and medical services. As refrigerators, they are friendly to the environment as CFC gas or any other refrigerant gas is not used. Due to these advantages, the thermoelectric devices have found a large range of

applications. The basic knowledge of the thermoelectric devices and the prospects of the applications have been discussed [1]. In the beginning of the 21st century, the world is facing the major challenge of finding energy sources to satisfy the ever-increasing energy consumption while preserving the environment. In the race to search alternative energy sources, thermoelectric generators are called to play their role in the improvement of the efficiency of the actual energy system by harvesting wasted heat. The improvement in the efficiency of nanoengineering thermoelectrics benefits mainly from the reduction in the thermal conductivity. Of various types of thermoelectric materials, nanostructured materials have shown the most promise for commercial use because of their extraordinary thermoelectric performances [2, 3]. A review of research was carried out to improve the coefficient of performance of thermoelectric cooling systems was presented. This includes development of new materials for thermoelectric modules, optimization of module design and fabrication and system analysis [4]. Thermoelectric coolers were found suitable for such applications where a precise control of temperature is required. A model was developed to simulate the air dehumidification process using thermoelectrically cooled TEC channels. It was found that the model predicted well the variation in the air temperature along the channel with

* Corresponding author. E-mail: sudhanshu.shr@gmail.com

© 2014 International Association for Sharing Knowledge and Sustainability

DOI: 10.5383/ijtee.08.02.003

a relative error of less than 2.4% [5, 6]. Various experimental and simulation studies of thermoelectric device have been carried out to examine their applications in different areas so that they can replace the conventional pollution creating power producing or power consuming monsters.[7,8] An exergy-based thermoeconomic optimization application has been applied to vapour compression and vapour absorption refrigeration systems. The application of thermoeconomic optimization design in these systems is important in achieving economical life cycle cost. Authors have developed thermodynamic and thermoeconomic objective functions based on second law and thermoeconomic principles [9-14]. These analyses have been done on power consuming as well as power producing devices equally. An energy and exergy based analysis of a combined diesel engine gas turbine system has been done. This analysis determines the pressure ratios that permit the system to be designed with fewer compressors and turbines [15]. Economic analysis of a renewable hybrid system for a subtropical climate and investigation of the impact of renewable energy sources to the existing and future smart power system have also been done [16].

2. Mathematical Modeling

A mathematical model of a multi-stage thermoelectric cooler (TEC) has been developed to analyze its performance thermoeconomically. There are total 50 elements in this TEC, out of which 30 are kept at hotter side and 20 are kept on colder side. [17] Thermoeconomics is the branch of engineering that combines exergy analysis and economic principles to provide the designer and operator with information not available through conventional energy analysis and economic evaluations but crucial to the design and operation of a cost effective system. So thermoeconomics can be considered as exergy-aided cost minimization. Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of a two stage TEC in which two stages are connected thermally as well as electrically in series.




Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the double stage TEC

2.1 Exergy analysis of two stage TEC:

In this paper first the exergy analysis of the aforesaid multistage TEC is done.

A two stage thermoelectric TEC can be considered as a two combined single stage TEC's connected thermally in series. Each stage may have same number or different number of thermoelectric elements. The heat balance equation for a two stage thermoelectric TEC can be written as:

$$Q_{cc} = \left[rI_c T_{cc} - \frac{I_c^2 R}{2} - k(T_{ch} - T_{cc}) \right] N_c \tag{1}$$

$$Q_{ch} = \left[rI_c T_{ch} + \frac{I_c^2 R}{2} - k(T_{ch} - T_{cc}) \right] N_c \tag{2}$$

$$Q_{hc} = \left[rI_h T_{hc} - \frac{I_h^2 R}{2} - k(T_{hh} - T_{hc}) \right] N_h \tag{3}$$

$$Q_{hh} = \left[rI_h T_{hh} + \frac{I_h^2 R}{2} - k(T_{hh} - T_{hc}) \right] N_h \tag{4}$$

where Q_{cc} is the cooling capacity at the cold side of the colder stage; Q_{ch} is the rate of release of heat at the hot side of colder stage; Q_{hc} is the cooling capacity at the colder side of the hotter stage and Q_{hh} is the rate of release of heat at the hot side of the hotter stage. T_{cc} and T_{ch} represent the temperatures of the cold side and hot side of colder stage and T_{hc} and T_{hh} represent the cold side and hot side temperature of hotter side. It can be assumed that their exist a junction temperature T_m which can be calculated by equating Q_{ch} and Q_{hc} .

Hence $Q_{ch} = Q_{hc}$

$$T_m = \frac{k(T_{cc} + xT_{hh}) + (xj^2 + 1)\frac{1}{2}I_c^2 R}{k(x + 1) + I_c r(xj - 1)} \tag{5}$$

where $x = N_h/N_c$ and $j = I_h/I_c$

Finally, the heat balance equations can be written as :

$$Q_{cc} = \left[rI_c T_{cc} - \frac{I_c^2 R}{2} - k(T_m - T_{cc}) \right] N_c \tag{6}$$

$$Q_{ch} = \left[rI_c T_m + \frac{I_c^2 R}{2} - k(T_m - T_{cc}) \right] N_c \tag{7}$$

$$Q_{hc} = \left[rI_h T_m - \frac{I_h^2 R}{2} - k(T_{hh} - T_m) \right] N_h \tag{8}$$

$$Q_{hh} = \left[r I_h T_{hh} + \frac{I_h^2 R}{2} - k(T_{hh} - T_m) \right] N_h \quad (9)$$

The thermoelectric material properties can be determined by:

$$r = |r_p - r_n| \quad (10)$$

$$R = \left(\dots_p + \dots_n \right) \frac{L}{A} \quad (11)$$

$$k = (k_p - k_n) \frac{A}{L} \quad (12)$$

where subscripts p and n indicate the properties of p and n-type semiconductors, A and L are cross-sectional areas and the length of thermocouples.

The material properties are considered to be dependent on the average temperature, T_{ave} , given by $(T_{cc}+T_{hh})/2$, and can be obtained by applying the exponential formulae of MELCOR, USA. T_{hh} and T_{cc} have been taken 303 K and 263 K respectively in this analysis.

$$r_p = -r_n = (22224.0 + 930.6T_{ave} - 0.9905T_{ave}^2) \times 10^{-9} \quad (13)$$

$$\dots_p = \dots_n = (5112.0 + 163.4T_{ave} + 0.6279T_{ave}^2) \times 10^{-10} \quad (14)$$

$$k_n = k_p = (62605.0 - 277.7T_{ave} + 0.4131T_{ave}^2) \times 10^{-4} \quad (15)$$

COP of two stage thermoelectric TEC:

$$\begin{aligned} (COP)_{act} &= \frac{Q_{cc}}{W_{hh} + W_{cc}} \\ &= \frac{Q_{cc}}{(Q_{hh} - Q_{hc}) + (Q_{ch} - Q_{cc})} \\ &= \frac{Q_{cc}}{(Q_{hh} - Q_{cc})} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

W_{hh} and W_{cc} are the power inputs in hotter and colder sides respectively.

Exergy analysis of double-stage TEC is as follows:

For colder stage:

$$X_{in,cc} - X_{out,cc} - X_{destroyed,cc} = \frac{dX_{cc}}{dt} \quad (17)$$

$\frac{dX_{cc}}{dt} = 0$ as the exergy does not change anywhere within the TEC.

So equation (32) can be written as:

$$Q_{cc} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_{cc}} \right) + W_{cc} - Q_{ch} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_m} \right) = X_{destroyed,cc} \quad (18)$$

After solving this equation, the exergy destruction is:

$$X_{destroyed,cc} = T_o \left(\frac{Q_{ch}}{T_m} - \frac{Q_{cc}}{T_{cc}} \right) \quad (19)$$

But $\left(\frac{Q_{ch}}{T_m} - \frac{Q_{cc}}{T_{cc}} \right)$ is entropy generation, $S_{gen,cc}$ in colder stage of multistage TEC

$$\text{So } X_{destroyed,cc} = T_o S_{gen,cc} \quad (20)$$

Similarly for hotter stage:

$$X_{in,hh} - X_{out,hh} - X_{destroyed,hh} = \frac{dX_{hh}}{dt} \quad (21)$$

$\frac{dX_{hh}}{dt} = 0$ as the exergy does not change anywhere within the TEC.

So equation (36) can be written as:

$$Q_{hc} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_m} \right) + W_{hh} - Q_{hh} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_{hh}} \right) = X_{destroyed,hh} \quad (22)$$

After solving this equation, the exergy destruction is:

$$X_{destroyed,hh} = T_o \left(\frac{Q_{hh}}{T_{hh}} - \frac{Q_{hc}}{T_m} \right) \quad (23)$$

But $\left(\frac{Q_{hh}}{T_{hh}} - \frac{Q_{hc}}{T_m} \right)$ is entropy generation, $S_{gen,hh}$ in hotter stage of multistage TEC

$$\text{So } X_{destroyed,hh} = T_o S_{gen,hh}$$

Total exergy destroyed in multistage TEC will be:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{destroyed,total} &= X_{destroyed,cc} + X_{destroyed,hh} \\ X_{destroyed,total} &= T_o (S_{gen,cc} + S_{gen,hh}) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Taking $T_o = T_{hh}$ equation (39) can be written as:

$$X_{destroyed} = T_{hh} (S_{gen,cc} + S_{gen,hh}) \quad (25)$$

So the Second Law Efficiency of double stage TEC on the basis of exergy destruction can be calculated as:

$$Y_{II} = 1 - \frac{X_{destroyed}}{X_{supplied}} \quad (26)$$

2.2 Thermoeconomic analysis of Two stage TEC:

Thermoeconomics is an orderly method which combines concept of exergy method with those belonging to economic analysis. The purpose of thermoeconomic analysis is to reach a trade-off between capital costs and costs of the input exergy of the system. In other words, in this method, the objective is either to minimize the unit cost of the product of the system for a fixed product or maximize the output product for a fixed total cost of the system. Therefore the thermoeconomic objective function includes cost involving exergy input and capital cost in monetary units. One can write the relationship between the product cost and the total cost of the system as follows. (18)

$$C_{cc} + C_w + Z = C_{hh} \quad (27)$$

$$c_{cc} X_{cc} + c_w X_w + Z = c_{hh} X_{hh} \quad (28)$$

Where C_{cc} , C_w and C_{hh} are the total annual cost of the system associated with cold side, power input and hot side exergy transfers respectively and c_{cc} , c_w and c_{hh} are the unit cost of exergy associated with cold side, power input and hot side exergy transfers. Z is cost rate associated with capital investment and operating and maintenance of TEC.

Equation (28) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c_{cc} Q_{cc} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_{cc}}\right) + c_w (Q_{hh} - Q_{cc}) + Z \\ = c_{hh} Q_{hh} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_{hh}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

c_{cc} and c_{hh} both costs are associated with same nature of exergy, they can be taken equal.

$$C_{cc} = C_{hh}$$

Equation (29) will be reduced as

$$c_{cc} = \frac{c_w (Q_{hh} - Q_{cc}) + Z}{Q_{hh} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_{hh}}\right) - Q_{cc} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_{cc}}\right)} \quad (30)$$

In engineering economics, the unit of time interval chosen for capital cost and operating and maintenance cost is usually taken as a year. This cost in a year is obtained using the capital recovery factor (CRF) [16].

The system is presumed to work with following details.

$T_{cc} = 263$ K, $T_{hh} = 303$ K and $T_o = 298$ K

Operating period, $n = 5$ years

Let us assume that TEC works for 8 months in a year and there are 30 days in a month.

Period of operation per year = $24 \times 30 \times 8 = 5760$ hrs

Annual interest rate, $i = 8\%$

Electricity cost, $c_w = 4.25$ Rs per kWh
Current supplied to TEC = 8 amp

[15]

$Z = CRF \times \text{Cost of the TEC}$

$$CRF = \frac{i(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^n - 1} * C$$

C is cost of the system.

The cost of the system can be calculated as per the following details.

Total number of thermoelectric elements = 50

Dimension of a thermoelectric element taken = $15 \text{ mm} \times 15 \text{ mm} \times 4.3 \text{ mm}$ [19]

Volume of one element = $15 \times 15 \times 4.3 = 967.5 \text{ mm}^3 = 967.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^3$

Density of Bismuth Telluride = $7.7 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Mass of total Bismuth Telluride required = $50 \times 967.5 \times 10^{-9} \times 7.7 \times 10^3 = 0.372 \text{ kg}$

Cost of Bismuth Telluride per kg = 110000 Rs [20]

Cost of Bismuth Telluride required = $0.372 \times 110000 = 40920$ Rs

Cost of battery = 4000 Rs

Miscellaneous cost (connection wires, plates etc.) = 10% of the material cost = 4092 Rs

Total cost = $40920 + 4000 + 4092 = 49012$ Rs 50000 Rs.

3. Results and Discussion

Let us take two cases for operating TEC

Case 1: T_{hh} remains constant at 303 K and T_{cc} varies from 253 K to 273 K. This may be considered as a case when the heat is rejected at constant temperature at 30°C (mean of the values taken in the later case) and TEC is being used for various applications where the refrigeration temperature T_{cc} varies from -20°C to 0°C.




Fig. 2 Variation in cost of refrigeration produced wrt. cold side temperature

Case 2: T_{cc} remains constant at 263 K and T_{hh} varies from 293 K to 313 K. This may be considered as a case when the refrigeration temperature is constant temperature at -10°C (mean of the values taken in former case) and the temperature of hotter plate varies from 20°C to 40°C .

Result & Discussion

Fig. 2 shows that the cost of refrigeration produced is Rs. 316497.5 minimum at $T_{cc} = 269$ K. Now the performance measuring parameters, coefficient of performance, second law efficiency and rate of refrigeration can also be calculated at this point. The values are mentioned in Table 1.




Fig.3 Variation in cost of refrigeration produced wrt. hot side temperature

Fig. 3 shows that the cost of refrigeration produced is Rs. 308622.1 minimum at $T_{hh} = 316$ K. T_{hh} is varied between 293 K and 313 K but the minimum cost of refrigeration produced is obtained at (316 K) a little higher than the maximum temperature value of heat rejection side of hotter stage i.e. 313 K. Now the performance measuring parameters, coefficient of performance, second law efficiency and rate of refrigeration can also be calculated at this point. The values are mentioned in Table 1.

Table.1 Comparison of the two cases considered

	$C_{cc} \text{ (min)}$	T_{cc}	T_{hh}	COP	η_{II}	ROR
Case 1	316497	269	303	1.48	0.18	0.29
Case 2	308622	263	316	0.45	0.091	0.13

Comparing the results obtained in case 1 and case 2, it can be observed that the cost of refrigeration produced is minimum when the TEC works between temperature limits $T_{cc} = 263$ K and $T_{hh} = 316$ K. Therefore comparing the results on the basis of cost of refrigeration produced, it can be noted that case 2 is more favorable. But the values of COP, η_{II} and ROR are higher in case 1, when the TEC works between temperature limits $T_{cc} = 269$ and $T_{hh} = 303$ K.

4. Conclusion

Analysis of a two stage thermoelectric cooler on the basis of thermoeconomic principles which has 30 elements on hotter side and 20 elements on colder side show that minimum cost of refrigeration produced is obtained when the TEC works $T_{cc} = 263$ K and $T_{hh} = 316$ K. This result has been obtained by varying T_{cc} and maintaining T_{hh} as constant. But when T_{hh} is varied and T_{cc} is maintained constant, the minimum cost of refrigeration produced is obtained at $T_{cc} = 269$ K and $T_{hh} = 303$ K. So on the basis of thermoeconomics the case 2 is the favourable case. But when the two cases are compared on the basis of coefficient of performance, rate of refrigeration and second law efficiency, it is observed that case 1 is favourable.

Nomenclature

- COP: coefficient of performance
- ROR: rate of refrigeration
- TEC: thermoelectric cooler
- A: Cross-sectional area of thermoelectric element, m^2
- I: input current of TEC, A
- T: temperature
- R: electrical resistance of thermoelectric element,
- K: thermal conductance of thermoelectric element, W/K
- N: number of thermoelectric elements
- Q: cooling or heating capacity, W
- x: ratio of thermoelectric elements in hotter and colder stages
- L : length of thermoelectric element, m
- W: power input in TEC, Watt
- X: exergy
- S: entropy
- J: ratio of current in hotter and colder stages
- C: total annual cost of the system
- c: unit cost of exergy
- n: number of years
- i= annual interest rate
- CRF= cost recovery factor
- Greek symbols
- α : Seebeck coefficient, V/K
- ρ : electrical resistivity, m
- k: thermal conductivity, W/mK
- η : efficiency
- Subscripts
- act: actual
- rev: reversible
- ave: average
- c: colder stage
- in: inflow

out: outflow
 destroyed: destruction
 o: ambient
 gen: generation
 supplied: supplied
 cc: cold side of colder stage
 ch: hot side of colder stage
 h: hotter stage
 hc: cold side of hotter stage
 hh: hot side of hotter stage
 II: second
 m: contact junction between two stages
 n: n-type thermoelectric material
 p: p-type thermoelectric material
 w: power input

References

- [1] SB. Riffat, X. Ma. Thermoelectrics: a review of present and potential applications Applied Thermal Engineering 2003; 23 (8): 913-935.
- [2] MS. Martín-González, O. Caballero-Calero, P. Díaz-Chao. Nanoengineering thermoelectrics for 21st century: Energy harvesting and other trends in the field Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2013; 24: 288-305.
- [3] ZG. Chen, G. Han, L. Yang, L.Cheng, J. Zou. Nanostructured thermoelectric materials: Current research and future challenge. Materials International Engineering. 2012; 22(6):535-549.
- [4] SB. Riffat, X. Ma. Improving the coefficient of performance of thermoelectric cooling systems: a review. International Journal of Energy Research 2004; 28(9):753-768.
- [5] M. Jradi, N. Ghaddar, K. Ghali. Experimental and theoretical study of an integrated thermoelectric-photovoltaic system for air dehumidification and fresh water production. International Journal of Energy Research 2012; 36 (9): 963-974.
- [6] SB. Riffat, GQ. Qiu. Design and characterization of cylindrical, water cooled heat sink for thermoelectric air-conditioners. International Journal of Energy Research 2006; 30 (2): 67-80.
- [7] S. Belanger, L. Gosselin. Multi-objective genetic algorithm optimization of thermoelectric heat exchanger for waste heat recovery. International Journal of Energy Research 2012; 36 (5): 632-642.
- [8] C.Lertsatitthanakorn, W. Srisuwan, S. Atthajariyakul. Experimental performance of a thermoelectric ceiling cooling panel. International Journal of Energy Research 2008; 32 (10): 950-957.
- [9] R. Selbas, Ö. Kızılkın, A. Encan. Thermoeconomic optimization of subcooled and superheated vapor compression refrigeration cycle. Energy, 2006; 31 (12): 2108-2128.
- [10] G.Q. Zhang, L. Wang L. Liu, Z. Wang. Thermoeconomic optimization of small size central air conditioner. Applied Thermal Engineering 2004; 24 (4): 471-485.
- [11] Ö. Kızılkın, A. Encan, SA. Kalogirou. Thermoeconomic optimization of a LiBr absorption refrigeration system. Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 2007; 46 (12): 1376-1384.
- [12] JU. Ahamed, R. Saidur, HH. Masjuki. A review on exergy analysis of vapor compression refrigeration system. [Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews](#) 2011; 15 (3): 1593-1600.
- [13] CE. Vincent, MK. Heun. Thermoeconomic analysis & design of domestic refrigeration system. Domestic Use of Energy Conference 2006.
- [14] O. Rezayan, A. [Behbahania](#). Thermoeconomic optimization and exergy analysis of CO₂/NH₃ cascade refrigeration system. Energy 2010; 36(2): 888-895.
- [15] M. El-A. Mohamed. Energy and exergy analysis of a combined diesel-engine gas-turbine system of a distributed power system. International Journal of Thermal and Environmental Engineering, 2013; 5(1): 31-39
- [16] GM. Shafiullah, M.T.Oo. Amanullah, ABM shekhawat Ali, D. Jarwis, P. Wolfs. Economic analysis of a hybrid renewable energy model of a subtropical climate. International Journal of Thermal and Environmental Engineering,. 2010; 1(2): 57-65
- [17] S. Sharma, VK. Dwivedi, SN. Pandit. Exergy analysis of single stage and multi stage thermoelectric cooler. Interanational journal of energy research DOI: 10.1002/er.3043
- [18] Bejan A, Tsatsaronis G, Moran M. Thermal Design and Optimization. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1996.
- [19] SA. Abdul-Wahab, A. Elkamel, AM. Al-Damkhi. Design and experimental investigation of a portable thermoelectric refrigerator. Renewable Energy 2009; 34: 30-34
- [20] YH. Cheng, WK. Lin. Geometric optimization of thermoelectric coolers in a confined volume using genetic algorithm. Applied Thermal Engineering 2005; 25 (17-18): 2983-2997.