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Abstract

During the last years, the computational capabilities of smart mobile devices have been continuously improved by hardware vendors, raising new opportunities for mobile application engineers. Mobile augmented reality can be considered as one demanding scenario demonstrating that smart mobile applications are becoming more and more mature. In the AREA (Augmented Reality Engine Application) project, we developed a powerful kernel that enables location-based, mobile augmented reality applications. On top of this kernel, mobile application developers can realize sophisticated individual applications. The AREA kernel, in turn, allows for both robustness and high performance. In addition, it provides a flexible architecture that fosters the development of individual location-based mobile augmented reality applications. As a particular feature, the kernel allows for the handling of points of interests (POI) clusters. Altogether, advanced concepts are required to realize a location-based mobile augmented reality kernel that are presented in this paper. Furthermore, results of an experiment are presented in which the AREA kernel was compared to other location-based mobile augmented reality applications. To demonstrate the applicability of the kernel, we apply it in the context of various mobile applications. As a lesson learned, sophisticated mobile augmented reality applications can be efficiently run on present mobile operating systems and be effectively realized by engineers using the AREA framework. We consider mobile augmented reality as a killer application for mobile computational capabilities as well as the proper support of mobile users in everyday life.
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1. Introduction

The proliferation of smart mobile devices on one hand and their continuously improving computational capabilities on the other have enabled new kinds of mobile applications [3]. So-called millennials, people born after 1980, pose demanding requirements with respect to the use of mobile technology in everyday life. Amongst others, they want to be assisted by mobile technology during their leisure time. For example, when walking around in Rome with its numerous ancient spots, the smart mobile device shall provide related information about these spots in an intuitive and efficient way. In such a scenario, location-based mobile augmented reality is useful. For example, if a user is located in front of the St. Peter's Basilica, holding his smart mobile device towards the Basilica with its camera switched on, the camera view shall provide additional information (e.g., worship times).

The AREA (Augmented Reality Engine Application) kernel we developed supports such scenarios. More precisely, AREA is able to detect predefined points of interest (POIs) within the camera view of a smart mobile device, to position them correctly, and to provide relevant information on the detected POIs. This additional information, in turn, may be accessed interactively by mobile users. For this purpose, they touch on the detected POIs and related information is then displayed. Three technical issues were crucial regarding the development of AREA. First, POIs must be correctly displayed even if the device is held obliquely. Depending on the attitude of the device, the POIs may have to be rotated with a certain angle and moved relatively to the rotation. Second, displaying POIs correctly to the user must be accomplished efficiently. To be more precise, even if multiple POIs are detected, the kernel shall enable their display without any delay. Third, the POI concept shall be integrated with common mobile operating systems (i.e., iOS, Android, and Windows Phone). To tackle these challenges, the LocationView concept was developed. Additionally, an architecture was designed, which shall enable the quick development of location-based mobile augmented applications on top of the kernel [1,2,11].

The AREA project started five years ago. Already one year after releasing its first kernel version (AREA Version 1), AREA was integrated with various mobile applications. In the
context of respective development projects, three fundamental
issues, not properly covered by the first version of the AREA
kernel, emerged. First, the heterogeneous characteristics of the
various mobile operating systems need to be taken into account
more explicitly. Second, a potentially large number of POIs
need to be handled more efficiently. Third, additional features
demanded by mobile users are required. These insights, in turn,
resulted in the development of AREA’s second kernel version
(i.e. AREA Version 2). Table 1 summarizes the evolution of
AREA from its first to its second version.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. AREA Versions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AREA Version 1 (AREA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Android App</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iOS App</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows App</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multithreaded†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POI Algorithm†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POI Coordinate System†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphones†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Management Android</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Management iOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENU=East-North-Up Coordinate System, ECEF=Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed Coordinate System, GPS=Global Positioning System, ][= all mobile OS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The heterogeneous characteristics of the mobile operating systems as well as performance issues with many POIs are
addressed by the development of a new kernel and architecture
called AREA Version 2 (AREAv2) (cf. Table 1, AREAv2). Moreover, AREAv2 provides three new features. The first one
deals with so-called POI clusters. If a huge number of POIs
causes many overlaps on the camera view, it is difficult for
users to precisely interact with single POIs inside such cluster.
In order to precisely select a single POI inside a cluster, a new
feature was developed. The second feature we developed connects POIs through lines in order to visualize tracks. For
example, such a track may be used as the cycle path a user
wants to perform in a certain area. The third feature highlights areas (e.g., football fields). From a technical perspective, the
added features are demanding if they shall be supported in the
same manner on different mobile operating systems.

This work presents fundamental concepts developed in
the context of AREA Version 2 (AREAv2). Section 2 discusses related work. Section 3 presents the architecture of
AREAv2. In Section 4, the coordinate system used by AREAv2 is introduced, while Sections 5 and 6 present the algorithms for POI and cluster handling. Conducted performance tests with AREAv2 are presented in Section 7, while Section 8 illustrates the use of AREAv2 in practical scenarios. Section 9 concludes the paper.

2. Related Work

Previous research related to the development of a location-based augmented reality application in non-mobile environments is described in [4]. In turn, [5] uses smart mobile devices for developing an augmented reality system. The augmented reality application described in [6] allows sharing media data and other information in a real-world environment and enables users to interact with this data through augmented reality. However, none of these approaches share insights
regarding the development of location-based augmented reality
on smart mobile devices as AREAv2 does. Only little work
exists, which deals with the engineering of mobile augmented reality systems in general. As an exception, [7] validates existing augmented reality browsers. Moreover, [8] discusses various types of location-based augmented reality scenarios.

More precisely, issues that have to be particularly considered
for a specific scenario are discussed in more detail. However,
engineering issues of mobile applications are not considered.
In [9], an authoring tool for mobile augmented reality applications, which is based on marker detection, is proposed.
In turn, [12] presents an approach for indoor location-based mobile augmented reality. Furthermore, [13] gives an overview of various aspects of mobile augmented reality for indoor scenarios. Another scenario for mobile augmented reality is presented in [17]. The authors use mobile augmented reality for image retrieval. However, [9, 12, 13, 17] do not address engineering aspects of location-based mobile applications. In [10], an approach supporting pedestrians with location-based mobile augmented reality is presented. Finally, [14] deals with a client and server framework enabling location-based applications. Altogether, neither software vendors nor research projects provide insights regarding the engineering of a location-based mobile augmented reality kernel.

3. Architecture

The AREAv2 architecture, which significantly enhances the architecture of the first kernel version [1, 2, 11], is depicted in
Fig. 1. The architecture comprises nine major components (cf. Fig. 1). The Model component manages POIs, POI categories (e.g., all POIs that represent restaurants), POI clusters, POI tracks (e.g., cycle paths), and POI areas (e.g., football fields). Developers may use this component to integrate application-specific POI categories as well as to change the visualization of the provided POI features. The Places API component, in turn, allows displaying POIs provided by Google or other remote APIs. Note that this component was integrated to be able to test the kernel with large numbers of POIs or POI clusters more easily. As AREAv2 shall also work without online connection, the used POIs are locally stored on the smart mobile device. The local database, however, may be
synchronized with a remote database. Due to lack of space, the components for storing POIs locally and synchronizing them with a remote database are excluded here and, hence, are not depicted in Fig. 1. The Math component, in turn, provides functions for calculations in the coordinate systems used. Compared to AREA, AREAv2 uses a novel sensor fusion approach that provides a more precise positioning of POIs through the Sensor component. In this context, four sensors are considered on all supported mobile operating systems, i.e., gyroscope, compass, accelerometer, and GPS (cf. Fig. 1). The Location component provides algorithms for handling the different coordinate systems. Their results, combined with the ones of the Sensor component, are used by the Main component. The latter provides algorithms that enable the handling of the POI-related features.
Furthermore, the View component enables required visualizations, i.e., visualizations of POIs, POI labels, POI clusters, POI tracks, POI areas, a POI radar, and a POI radius. The radar can be used to check whether POIs, which are currently not displayed on the screen of the smart mobile device, can be accessed when pointing with the smart mobile device towards another direction. The radius, in turn, can be used to specify the maximum distance the mobile user may have to the POIs that shall be displayed. By calculating the distance between the device and the POIs based on the coordinate system, AREAv2 can determine the POIs located inside the chosen radius and, hence, the POIs to be displayed on the screen. Finally, the Settings component realizes functions enabling users to customize AREAv2 features (cf. Fig. 1).

4. Coordinate System

AREAv2 is based on a coordinate system that differs from the one used in the first kernel version, which was solely based on GPS coordinates. More precisely, in AREA, the GPS coordinates of mobile users were calculated by using the GPS sensor of their smart mobile devices, whereas the GPS coordinates of the POIs were retrieved from the local database. Based on the comparison of mobile user and POI coordinates as well as proper calculations (e.g., to determine whether the device is held obliquely), the POIs can be correctly displayed in the camera view of the smart mobile device. The core idea of AREAv2, in turn, is based on five aspects necessitating the use of another coordinate system. First, a virtual 3D world is used to relate the user's position to the one of the POIs. Second, the user is located at the origin of this world. Third, instead of the physical camera, a virtual 3D camera is used that operates with the created virtual 3D world. Therefore, the virtual camera is placed at the origin of this world. Fourth, the sensor characteristics of the supported mobile operating systems need to be properly covered in order to enable the virtual 3D world. Regarding iOS, sensor data of the gyroscope as well as the accelerometer are used, whereas for Android sensor data of the gyroscope, accelerometer and compass of the mobile device are used to position the virtual 3D camera correctly. Fifth, the physical camera of the mobile device is adjusted to the virtual 3D camera by analyzing sensor data. In order to realize the 3D world of AREAv2, a complex coordinate system, which consists of three sub-systems, is required. The first sub-system uses GPS, ECEF (Earth-Centered, Earth-Fixed), and ENU (East, North, Up) coordinates. The second one, in turn, relies on a virtual 3D space with the user being located at the origin. Finally, the third sub-system uses a virtual 3D camera located at the origin of the 3D world. Note that the first sub-system (with GPS, ECEF, and ENU coordinates) constitutes a prerequisite (cf. Fig. 2) for transforming sensor data of the smart mobile device into data that can be used for the virtual 3D world.

As illustrated in Fig. 2, the user is located at the ECEF origin (0, 0, 0). The POIs, in turn, are located on the surface of the earth, again using ECEF coordinates. To use this metaphor for the virtual 3D world, two additional transformations became necessary. As a smart mobile device can only sense GPS coordinates, first of all, the GPS coordinates of the user and the POIs need to be transformed into ECEF coordinates. Second, as a user cannot be physically located at the origin of the earth, ECEF coordinates need to be transformed into ENU coordinates. The latter, in turn, allow for the described

---

metaphor of the virtual 3D world. More precisely, ENU coordinates are transformed into coordinates for the virtual 3D world through a transformation of axes. Finally, the distance between a user and the POI based on ENU coordinates must be calculated. The three algorithms accomplishing the required conversions can be found in [11].

5. Points of Interest Algorithm

Although AREAv2 uses a virtual 3D world for displaying POIs, the direction in which a user holds his smart mobile device must be properly determined. For example, if the smart mobile device is held obliquely, the POI needs to be correctly positioned within the virtual 3D world. As the algorithm to correctly position POIs (the POI algorithm) requires calculations from other algorithms, Fig. 3 illustrates the dependencies to them. Note that Algorithm 2 constitutes the POI algorithm. It establishes the coordinate system on one hand and is the base for the clustering algorithm on the other. In general, Algorithm 2 depends on three Algorithms presented in [11]. On Android, Algorithm 2 additionally depends on Algorithm 1. Algorithm 2 uses the following inputs: First, the list of POIs poiList (i.e., the ENU coordinates), locally stored on the smart mobile device, is used. Each time a user changes the position of his smart mobile device, all POI ENU coordinates are recalculated.

![Fig. 2. ECEF and ENU Coordinate Systems](image)

**Algorithm 2: Rendering pipeline with redraw up to 60 times per second**

Data: poiList, rotationMatrix RM, cameraView CM

```
begin
1 | P ← CM · RM; /* Multiply camera matrix with rotation matrix to retrieve rotated camera projection matrix. */
2 | foreach poi ∈ poiList do
3 |   | v ← [poi.ENU.E, poi.ENU.N, poi.ENU.U, 1]; /* Create homogeneous vector out of the POI’s ENU coordinate. */
4 |   | v = v · P; /* Multiplication of vector with projection matrix to project the position of the POI onto the camera view frustum. */
5 |   | x ← (v.x / v.w) + 1.0 * 0.5 /* Normalize vector components to 0…1 */
6 |   | y ← (v.y / v.w) + 1.0 * 0.5 /* Normalize vector components to 0…1 */
7 |   | z ← v.z
8 |   | if x < 0 then
9 |     | transformAndMovePOI(poi.x, y); /* Position POI on the screen of the user and make it visible. */
10 |     | poi.visible = true;
11 |   | else
12 |     | poi.visible = false
13 | end
14 | end
15 | end
16 | end
```

![Fig. 3. Algorithm Dependencies](image)

**Second**, a rotation matrix rotationMatrix RM is used that manages relevant sensor data. Regarding iOS, for example, the data of the gyroscope and accelerometer are used, whereas on Android the data of the gyroscope and accelerometer, plus additional compass data, are utilized. More precisely, in order to obtain the attitude of the mobile device relative to true north as a rotation matrix, we utilize the CMMotionManager API provided by Apple iOS. Regarding Android, however, we were unable to retrieve any reliable data when using the Android standard API. Hence, we decided to develop a more reliable sensor fusion algorithm to obtain a similar rotation matrix like on iOS (cf. Algorithm 1). Algorithm 1 accomplishes this task: First, the Android gyroscope provides inappropriate (i.e., inaccurate) values. As a consequence, when using (a) the values of the gyroscope for a user that (b) frequently changes the position of his Android smart mobile device, the POIs on the screen of his smart mobile device oscillate badly. To obtain better user experience, we smooth the gyroscope values by using the SLERP algorithm [16] (cf. Algorithm 1, Line 28). Second, the rotation vector provided by the Android mobile OS is very precise on one hand, but it is prone to (1) frequent position changes, (2) slow position changes, and (3) magnetic interference sources on the other. Therefore, we use the gyroscope instead of the rotation vector to calculate rotationMatrix RM as the gyroscope provides more appropriate values (cf. Algorithm 1, Lines 9-13).
In turn, the gyroscope poses the so-called DRIFT effect over time. To cope with the latter effect, every 10 seconds the rotation vector is set as the new reference position (cf. Algorithm 1, Lines 14-38). Within these 10 seconds, we check whether the gyroscope and the rotation vector differ too much. In the latter case, we increase a counter. Based on a threshold that is compared to the counter, we either use the gyroscope or the rotation vector for the rotationMatrix RM. On Android, this approach for displaying POIs results in similar user experiences compared to iOS. Third, the rotationMatrix RM is used to adjust the virtual camera managed with the matrix cameraView CM. This matrix, in turn, is used to decide which POIs are actually displayed on the camera view. Based on the poiList, the rotationMatrix RM, and the cameraView CM, Algorithm 2 works as follows: A view called areaview is created and shown to the user. Next, each POI in poiList is created as a separate view. These POI views are then placed on the areaview and are initially marked as invisible. In the following, they will be only displayed if Algorithm 2 indicates that they shall be visible (cf. Algorithm 2, Lines 9-15). Note that the entire view structure is pre-calculated and will not be changed afterwards by Algorithm 2. The latter makes POIs visible or invisible taking the position changes of the user into account. The position, in turn, is determined through the rotation matrix rotationMatrix RM (cf. Algorithm 2, Lines 2-8). Changes in rotationMatrix RM are evaluated up to 60 times per second. Hence, the pre-calculation of the view structure with respect to performance is indispensable.

6. Cluster Algorithm

Algorithm 3 presents the calculation how POI clusters are handled. The algorithm utilizes parameters thHor and thVer to identify POI clusters contained in poiList. These two parameters, in turn, are defined by the mobile users themselves and are applied as follows: all POIs being inside an area spanned by thHor on the horizontal and thVer on the vertical course (i.e., in the ENU coordinate system) are considered as POIs belonging to the same cluster. Figs. 4 and 5 illustrate how cluster handling looks like from the perspective of the mobile user. More precisely, in both figures the screens marked deactivated show POIs without using Algorithm 3. Consequently, the POIs are difficult to select for mobile users. In turn, the screens marked activated in Figs. 4 and 5 show Algorithm 3 in practice; i.e., a cluster was detected and the POIs are arranged more conveniently to the mobile user.

7. Experimental Results

In order to evaluate various performance indicators of AREAv2 and to compare them with the ones of competitive location-based mobile augmented reality applications, we conducted an experiment obeying the following steps:

(1) Determine performance indicators for both the Android and the iOS version of AREAv2: CPU usage, memory usage, and battery consumption.

(2) Compare the performance indicators with the ones of well-known smart mobile applications providing location-based mobile augmented reality as well.

(3) Define an experiment setting for using the smart mobile devices in two different scenarios: (a) Holding the smart mobile device without performing any position change; (b) Continuously moving the smart mobile device.
Concerning (1), we use an Apple iPhone 5c (iOS Version 9.3.5) for the AREAv2 iOS version and a Google Nexus 5 (Android Version 6.0.1) for the AREAv2 Android version. Concerning (2), in turn, we compared AREAv2 with the smart mobile applications depicted in Table 2.

As further shown in Table 2, we also determined the aforementioned performance indicators for the camera as well as the main menu of the two smart mobile devices. Camera means that solely the camera function of the smart mobile device was started without using a particular smart mobile application. Main menu, in turn, means that the main menu of AREAv2 was opened without using the augmented function. These two measurements were accomplished to enable a better comparison of the three performance indicators.

Table 2. Experimental Mobile Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>iPhone 5c</th>
<th>Nexus 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static (a)</td>
<td>Moving (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREAv2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yelp [15]</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikitude [18]</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmented3D [19]</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Menu</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"x": performed, "o": not performed, ":": not available

Concerning (3), the following experimental setting was established: for the static Scenario (a), a vice was used (cf. Fig. 6) to simulate a user holding the smart mobile device without any position change.

For simulating a user continuously moving his smart mobile device (Scenario (b)), we used a ventilator (cf. Fig. 7).

For properly measuring the above mentioned three performance indicators, we used the SystemPanel App [20] for Android and the Instruments Framework [21] for iOS.

Based on this overall setting, each application was evaluated using the same experiment procedure:

1. The smart mobile device was set to factory defaults.
2. The smart mobile application and the monitoring app were downloaded.
3. All other mobile applications that may be manually closed by a user (i.e., except the background processes) were terminated.
4. The battery was loaded to 100%.
5. The smart mobile device was mounted to the vice or ventilator.
6. The two mobile applications (i.e., test and monitoring application) were started.
7. The experiment was conducted over a period of 30 minutes.

Table 3 shows the results of the experiment. For each tested application, the average value of a performance indicator during the 30-minutes experiment is shown. Note that the three applications AREAv2, Wikitude and Yelp provide the same location-based mobile augmented reality functions, whereas Augmented3D uses 3D models in the augmented view (i.e., the camera view). The latter application was evaluated to obtain insights into location-based mobile augmented reality applications in comparison to object-based mobile augmented reality applications.

Experimental results indicate that AREAv2 shows a better performance than the tested commercial location-based mobile augmented reality applications Wikitude and Yelp as well as Augmented3D. Only for the static iOS scenario, AREAv2 shows a higher CPU usage compared to the commercial applications. We currently conduct further tests to evaluate this issue in more detail. Regarding the RAM performance indicator, AREAv2 performs best in all scenarios. Concerning battery consumption, AREAv2 performs worse than the other mobile augmented reality applications. To address the latter aspect, we currently work on AREAv3. As shown in Table 3, we have implemented a first version of AREAv3 on Android. First results indicate that AREAv3 performs better than AREAv2 as well as all other mobile augmented reality applications with respect to the overall battery consumption.

Table 3. Experiment Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>RAM</th>
<th>Battery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iPhone 5c</td>
<td>Static (a)</td>
<td>AREAv2</td>
<td>90.73%</td>
<td>67.00%</td>
<td>36.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wikitude</td>
<td>61.22%</td>
<td>79.00%</td>
<td>24.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yelp</td>
<td>72.97%</td>
<td>78.00%</td>
<td>18.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Augment3D</td>
<td>89.66%</td>
<td>77.00%</td>
<td>14.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>30.36%</td>
<td>81.00%</td>
<td>13.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Menu</td>
<td>14.34%</td>
<td>53.00%</td>
<td>0.00%(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPhone 5c</td>
<td>Moving (b)</td>
<td>AREAv2</td>
<td>84.87%</td>
<td>68.00%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wikitude</td>
<td>85.56%</td>
<td>73.00%</td>
<td>26.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yelp</td>
<td>64.65%</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>29.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Augment3D</td>
<td>70.98%</td>
<td>81.00%</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) Reported by the Instruments Framework [21] to 0.00%
Algorithm 1: Determine 3D-Rotation Matrix on Android

Data: \( \hat{\mathbf{R}} \)  

```java
1 begin
2  /* \( \hat{\mathbf{R}} \) RotationVector: Rotation of the smart mobile device with angle \( \theta \) to the three axes:
3 \( x = \sin(\theta/2), y = \sin(\theta/2), z = \sin(\theta/2) \). */
4  /* \( \mathbf{G} \) GYroscopeVector: Vector with rotation of the smart mobile device to the three axes in \( \text{rad/s} \). */
5  /* \( \hat{\mathbf{R}} \) Matrix representation of the gyroscope vector, \( \hat{\mathbf{q}} \): Quaternion of the gyroscope vector */
6  /* \( \hat{\mathbf{q}} \) Quaternion of the RotationVector, \( \hat{\mathbf{R}} \) : Smart mobile device rotation provided by the 3D rotation matrix */
7  \( \hat{\mathbf{M}}_0 \rightarrow 0, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{q}}, t \rightarrow 0, f \rightarrow 0 \)
8 while 1 do
9  \( \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_t, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{q}}, t \rightarrow \text{now}() \)
10 end
11 \( \Delta t \rightarrow \text{now}() - t \)
12 \( s_1 \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta t}}} \)
13 \( s_2 \rightarrow \sin(s_1), s_3 = \cos(s_1) \)
14 \( \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \rightarrow (s_2 \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0, s_2 \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0, s_2 \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0, s_2 \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0) ; \) /* Create a quaternion from the angular rotation of the gyroscope */
15 \( \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \), \( d \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \) /* Time threshold not reached */
16 if \( f < \epsilon_1 \) then
17   /* Directions of gyroscope and rotation vector differ to strong ... */
18 if \( d < \epsilon_2 \) then
19    /* ... but they did not differ often enough yet */
20 if \( f < \epsilon_3 \) then
21    /* Increase fail counter */
22 \( f \rightarrow f + 1 \)
23 \( \Delta \hat{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0 \times \mathbf{M}_0 \) /* Set 3D Rotation Matrix according to gyroscope */
24 end else
25 \( f \rightarrow 0 \)
26 \( \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t, \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_t \)
27 end else
28 \( \hat{\mathbf{I}} \rightarrow \text{Slerp}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t) ; \) /* Calculate the interpolated orientation with SLERP algorithm [16] */
29 \( \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_t \times \mathbf{M}_0 \) /* Assign the 3D rotation Matrix */
30 \( \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \) /* Set gyroscope matrix accordingly */
31 end else
32 \( f \rightarrow 0 \)
33 \( \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t, \hat{\mathbf{M}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_t \) /* Align gyroscope with rotation vector */
34 \( \hat{\mathbf{I}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \) /* Assign 3D rotation matrix */
35 end
36 end
```

5 Reported by the Instruments Framework [21] to 0.00%.

8. AREAv2 in Practice

Table 4 summarizes examples of mobile applications that were developed with the AREAv2 framework. As can be seen, AREAv2 has been applied in various scenarios of everyday life (cf. Table 4). Considering the high number of mobile applications implemented with AREAv2, the practical applicability of the latter could be demonstrated. The numbers of POIs considered by the respective mobile applications vary among the scenarios, but in all scenarios AREAv2 revealed same performance experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apps using AREAv2</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>iOS</th>
<th>Android</th>
<th>#POIs</th>
<th>Cluster Handling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AbfallInfo HOK</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altenahr</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad Waldsee</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bühlerezell</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaidorf</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldpartner</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Summary and Outlook
This paper gave insights into the development of a powerful augmented reality kernel for smart mobile devices. In turn, this kernel serves as the core of an engineering framework for mobile augmented reality applications. We discussed complexity issues emerging in this context, showing that the development of mobile augmented reality applications constitutes a challenging endeavor. As a particular lesson, we learned that fundamental components of the kernel needed to be evolved over time in order to keep pace with the frequently changing requirements of mobile operating systems. In addition, novel functions like POI cluster handling were presented. In general, the development of mobile applications is demanding when considering the peculiarities of the different mobile operating systems. To cope with this heterogeneity, AREAv2 is based on a modular architecture. We further showed that sophisticated business applications can be realized on top of AREAv2. Furthermore, experimental results demonstrated that AREAv2 had shown a good performance compared to competitive location-based mobile augmented reality applications.

Altogether, mobile augmented reality enables scenarios demonstrating that mobile applications are becoming increasingly mature. However, suitable concepts are needed to enable comprehensive and efficient mobile assistance in everyday life.
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